www.vallalaw.com

1	N
2	5
2	 1
4	T
5	NS VI VI F a m s
6	
7	
8	I
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	L
14	c
15	
16	
17	P
18	S
19	
20	c li
21	a
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	 tl
28	

1	Antonio Valla, Esq. (SBN 136256) Michael Purcell, Esq. (SBN 229506)
2	Stefano Abbasciano, Esq. (SBN 277680
~	Valla & Associates, Inc., P.C.
3	1990 N. California Blvd., Suite 1060
	Walnut Creek, CA 94596
4	Telephone: 925.705.7623
_	Fax: 925.705.7629
5	antonio.valla@vallalaw.com
6	michael.purcell@vallalaw.com
0	stefano.abbasciano@vailalaw.com
7	627
	Attorneys for Defendant
8	IQSYSTEM, INC.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LOOP AI LABS	INC.,	аΣ	<b>)</b> elaw	are
corporation				

Plaintiff.

VS.

ANNA GATTI, an individual, ALMAVIVA S.p.A., an Italian corporation, ALMAWAVE S.r.l., an Italian corporation, ALMAWAVE USA, Inc., a California corporation, IQSYSTEM LLC, a California limited liability company, IQSYSTEM, Inc., a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-00798 HSG

NAPOLI IN SUPPORT OF
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S EX
PARTE MOTION FOR
(1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION;
(2) ASSET RESTRAINING ORDER;
(3) EXPEDITED DISCOVERY ORDER;
AND
(4) ALTERNATIVE SERVICE OF
PROCESS ON ALMAVIVA S.P.A. AND
ALMAVIVA S.R.L. AND RELATED
RELIEF

ARATION OF ANTONIO DI

## I, Antonio Di Napoli, declare as follows:

1. I am the Director of Business Development for IQSystem, Inc., a defendant in he above-captioned case. I have been employed by IQSystem, Inc. since April 2014. I am

entered, would have disastrous consequences for IQSystem, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<ul> <li>25 years of experience in the high-tech equipment industry. I have personal knowledge of the facts below, and if called to testify, can and will competently do so.</li> <li>2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>25 years of experience in the high-tech equipment industry. I have personal knowledge of the facts below, and if called to testify, can and will competently do so.</li> <li>2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this</li> </ul>	
facts below, and if called to testify, can and will competently do so.  2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this	facts below, and if called to testify, can and will competently do so.  2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this	responsible for business development and all semiconductor related activities, and I enjoy over
2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this	2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this	25 years of experience in the high-tech equipment industry. I have personal knowledge of the
		facts below, and if called to testify, can and will competently do so.
case, including the Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order. Any such order, in	case, including the Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order. Any such order, if	2. I have reviewed and I am familiar with the pleadings filed by Plaintiff in this
		case, including the Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order. Any such order, if

- IOSystem. Inc. provides technical consulting and business development to 3. semiconductor industry players on a global level. In order to fulfill a wide range of customer needs, IOSystem, Inc. employs a team of scientists, engineers and analysts working in different areas of the design and fabrication of semiconductor devices, as well as a team of Business Development Contractors specialized in semiconductor manufacturing process technologies.
- 4. IOSystem, Inc. currently employs eighteen (18) individuals who depend on IQSystem, Inc. as their main source of income. These individuals are assigned to their respective accounts and often work on the client's site.
- IQSystem, Inc. pays these 18 individuals a salary, as well as their business 5. expenses related to travel, room and board when they work at the client's site.
- IQSystem, Inc. has no direct knowledge of what Plaintiff's business activities 6. consist of, what their business model is, or what their product is. It is my understanding and belief that IQSystem, Inc.'s business activities are in no way similar to those of the Plaintiff.
- IOSystem, Inc. had sporadic contacts with Loop AI Labs, Inc. ("Loop AI") in 7. April 2014. Specifically, I spent a couple of weeks at Loop AI's office while IQSystem was securing its own office spaces. During that time, I had very limited interaction with Loop AI and its personnel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8.	I	was	assigned	a	desk	and	was	given	guest	access	to	Loop	Al's	internet.
Specifically,	Ιw	vas at	ole to acce	ess	the p	ublic	inter	net thro	ough L	oop AI	's и	vifi net	, but I	was not
given any acc	ess	s to L	oop AI's s	ser	vers, f	iles,	or coi	nfidenti	ial info	rmation	l <b>.</b>			

- 9. I never accessed Loop AI's files, records, trade secrets, or confidential information. I did not use any equipment belonging to Loop AI, such as desktop or laptop computers, servers, or portable phones.
- To my knowledge, only one other employee of IQSystem, Inc., Manuela Micoli, 10. was present a few times at Loop AI's office. Ms. Micoli did not have access to Loop AI's servers, files, or confidential information. She also never used any equipment belonging to Loop AI, such as desktop or laptop computers, servers, or portable phones.
- No other employees of or consultants for IOSystem, Inc. were ever present at 11. Loop AI's office.
- IQSystem, Inc. is a live, active, viable business that currently has large, ongoing 12. projects with three important customers/industry leaders.
- The first major client of IQSystem, Inc. is based in Silicon Valley and owns and 13. operates semiconductor manufacturing operations in Germany, Singapore, New York, and Vermont.
- 14. The second major client of IOSystem, Inc. is a company with headquarters in Rome, Italy, and has over 37,000 employees. The company provides IT and call center services to customers. IOSystem, Inc. provides this company with business development services.
- The third major client of IQSystem, Inc. is a Taiwanese manufacturer of 15. semiconductors and TFT LCD glass used to manufacture flat panel TV's. This company is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

16.	IQSystem,	Inc.'s	average	monthly	costs	and	expenses,	including	salaries
commissions,	travel and	room a	and board	l costs to	emplo	yees	and consul	ltants, and	rent and
utilities, calcu	ılated based	on the	costs an	d expense	es incu	rred	in January	2015 and	February
2015, amount	to \$89,450 p	er mon	ith, and ai	re subject	to incre	ease in	n the next n	nonths.	
17.	During the	regula	r course	of busine	ess, I a	am th	ne main po	int of con	tact with

- 17. During the regular course of business, I am the main point of contact with IQSystem, Inc.'s clients. If Plaintiff's motion were granted, IQSystem, Inc. would not be able to continue to do business for these or any other clients. IQSystem, Inc. would suffer significant financial loss, and would likely go out of business, if it could not fulfill its contractual obligations to its clients, and the professional relationships that IQSystems, Inc. has formed with these clients would be irreparably damaged.
- 18. IQSystem, Inc. is also currently in negotiations for one new contract with a potential client. Should IQSystem, Inc. sign this new contract, IQSystem will hire six (6) additional employees to execute this project.
- 19. If Plaintiff's motion were granted, negotiations would have to stop and these potential business opportunities would be lost. This would cause damage to IQSystem, Inc.'s reputation within the industry and it would be unlikely that IQSystem, Inc. would be able to do business with these potential clients in the future.
- 20. If Loop AI's motion were granted and IQSystem, Inc.'s assests were frozen, IQSystem, Inc. would no longer be able to pay its employees, nor would it be able to hire the six new employees.

Executed under penalty of perjury according to the laws of California on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015 in San Francisco, California..

By:

Antonio Di Napoli